

ON COMPLETENESS OF H-CLOSED POSPACES

TOMOO YOKOYAMA

ABSTRACT. We generalized the characterization of H-closedness for linearly ordered pospaces as follows: A pospace X without an infinite antichain is an H-closed pospace if and only if X is a directed complete and down-complete poset with $\bigvee L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ and $\bigwedge L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ for any nonempty chain $L \subseteq X$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

In [GPR], they gave the following characterization of H-closedness for a linearly ordered pospace to be H-closed: A linearly ordered pospace X is H-closed if and only if X is a complete lattice with $\bigvee L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ and $\bigwedge L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ for any nonempty chain $L \subseteq X$.

In the same paper, they also gave a non-H-closed pospace such that it is a directed complete and down-complete poset with an infinite antichain and with $\bigvee L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ and $\bigwedge L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ for any nonempty chain L of it. (In fact, they showed that the extension X of an infinite antichain by adding the minimal element and equipped with the discrete topology on X is a non-H-closed pospace.)

In this paper, all topological spaces will be assumed Hausdorff. We extend the above characterization of H-closedness for linearly ordered pospaces to one for pospaces without an infinite antichain.

For a set X , denote by $X^{<\omega}$ the set of finite subsets of X . If A is a subset of a topological space X , then we denote the closure of the set A in X by $\text{cl}_X A$ or $\text{cl}A$. By a partial order on a set X we mean a reflexive, transitive and anti-symmetric binary relation $\leq$ on X . A set endowed with a partial order is called a partially ordered set (or poset).

Recall that a poset with a topology defined on it is called a topological partially ordered space (or pospace) if the partial order is a closed subset of $X \times X$. A partial order $\leq$ is said to be continuous or closed if $x \not\leq y$ in X implies that there are open neighborhoods U and V of x and y respectively such that $\uparrow U \cap V = \emptyset$ (i.e. $U \cap \downarrow V = \emptyset$). A partial order $\leq$ on a topological space X is continuous if and only if $(X, \leq)$ is a pospace [W]. In any pospace, $\downarrow x$ and $\uparrow x$ are both closed for any element x of it.

A Hausdorff pospace X is said to be an H-closed pospace if X is a closed subspace of every Hausdorff pospace in which it is contained. Obviously that the notion of H-closedness is a generalization of compactness.

For an element x of a poset X , $\uparrow x := \{y \in X \mid x \leq y\}$ (resp. $\downarrow x := \{y \in X \mid y \leq x\}$) is called the upset (resp. the downset) of x . For a subset $Y \subseteq X$, $\uparrow Y := \bigcup_{y \in Y} \uparrow y$ (resp. $\downarrow Y := \bigcup_{y \in Y} \downarrow y$) is called the upset (resp. the downset)

Date: April 20, 2010.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 06A06, 06F30; Secondary 54F05, 54H12.

Key words and phrases. H-closed, pospace, directed complete.

of Y . For a subset S of a poset, denote by $S^\uparrow$ (resp. $S^\downarrow$) the set of upper (resp. lower) bounds of S . For elements x, y of a poset, $x \parallel y$ means that x and y are incomparable. For an element x of a poset X , denote by I_x the set of incomparable elements for x (i.e. $I_x = X - (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x)$). For a subset A of a poset, A is said to be a chain if A is linearly ordered, and is said to be an antichain if any distinct elements are incomparable. A maximal chain is a chain which is properly contained in no other chain. The Axiom of Choice implies the existence of maximal chains in any poset. A subset D of a poset X is (up-)directed (resp. down-directed) if every finite subset of D has an upper (resp. lower) bound in D . A poset X is said to be down-complete (resp. up-complete) if each down-directed (resp. up-directed) set S of X has $\bigwedge S$ (resp. $\bigvee S$). An up-complete poset is also called a directed complete poset or a dcpo. It is well-known that a poset X is directed complete if and only if each chain L of X has $\bigvee L$.

Now we state the main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a pospace without an infinite antichain. Then X is an H-closed pospace if and only if X is directed complete and down-directed such that $\bigvee L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ and $\bigwedge L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ for any nonempty chain $L \subseteq X$.*

2. PROOFS

Note that $\uparrow F \cup \downarrow F = X$ for a maximal antichain F of a poset X . Moreover notice that if X has no infinite antichain, then all subposet and all extensions of X by adding finite points have no infinite antichain neither.

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a poset and x a point of X . Suppose that there is a subset F of X such that $F \sqcup \{x\}$ is a maximal antichain in X . Let $U := X - (\uparrow F \cup \downarrow F)$. Then $\uparrow U \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ and $\downarrow U \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$.*

Proof. Put $X_- := \downarrow(F \sqcup \{x\})$ and $X_+ := \uparrow(F \sqcup \{x\})$. Then $X = X_- \cup X_+$. Since $\uparrow U \cap \downarrow F = \emptyset$, we have $\uparrow U \cap X_- = \uparrow U \cap \downarrow(F \sqcup \{x\}) = \uparrow U \cap \downarrow x$ and so $\uparrow(\uparrow U \cap X_-) \cap X_+ \subseteq \uparrow x$. Since $U \cap X_+ \subseteq \uparrow x$, we obtain $\uparrow U \cap X_+ = (\uparrow(U \cap X_+) \cup \uparrow(U \cap X_-)) \cap X_+ \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ and so $\uparrow U \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$. By symmetry, we obtain $\downarrow U \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be an H-closed pospace without an infinite antichain. Then any maximal chain of X is complete.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a maximal chain L which is non-complete. Then there is a subset S of L such that either $\bigvee_L S$ or $\bigwedge_L S$ does not exist. We may assume that $\bigvee_L S$ does not exist. If $S^\uparrow = \emptyset$, then let $\tilde{X} := X \sqcup \{\infty\}$ be the extension with the maximal element ∞ . Define a topology τ on $\tilde{X}$ by an open subbase $\tau \cup \{\tilde{X} - \downarrow F \mid F \in X^{<\omega}\}$. Then X is an embedded subspace which is not closed. We will show that $\tilde{X}$ is a pospace. For any element x of X , if $x \notin \max X$, then the fact that $\bigvee_L S$ does not exist implies that there is an element $y > x$ of X and a finite subset F of X such that $y \in F$ and F is a maximal antichain. Then $U := \tilde{X} - \uparrow F$ is an open neighborhood of x and $V := \tilde{X} - \downarrow F$ is an open neighborhood of ∞ such that $\downarrow U \cap V = \emptyset$. Otherwise $x \in \max X$. Then there is a finite subset F of X such that $F \sqcup \{x\}$ is a maximal chain. Let $U = X - (\downarrow_X F \cup \uparrow_X F) \subseteq \downarrow_X x$ be an open neighborhood of x and $V = \tilde{X} - \downarrow(F \sqcup \{x\})$ an open neighborhood of ∞ . Then $\downarrow U \cap V = \emptyset$. Thus $\tilde{X}$ is a pospace and so X is not H-closed, which contradicts. Thus $S^\uparrow \neq \emptyset$. Then $\bigwedge_L (L \setminus \downarrow S)$ does not exist. Let $A := \uparrow(L \setminus \downarrow S)$ and $B := \downarrow(L \cap \downarrow S)$.

Extend X to $\tilde{X} := X \sqcup \{\alpha\}$ by $\uparrow\alpha := \{\alpha\} \sqcup A$ and $\downarrow\alpha := \{\alpha\} \sqcup B$. Define a topology τ by an open subbase $\tau_X \cup \{\tilde{X} - (\uparrow E \cup \downarrow F) \mid F, E \in X^{<\omega}\}$. Then X is an embedded subspace which is not closed. Thus it suffices to show the following claim, which induces that X is not an H-closed pospace.

Claim 1. $\tilde{X}$ is a pospace.

Indeed, let $x \in X$ be any element. If $x \in A$, then $x > \alpha$. Since $\bigwedge_L (L \setminus \downarrow S)$ does not exist, there is an element y of $L \setminus \downarrow S$ such that $\alpha < y < x$. Then there is a finite subset E of A such that $y \in E$ and E is a maximal chain. Since $(E - \{y\}) \subseteq I_y$, we have that $U := \tilde{X} - \downarrow E$ is an open neighborhood of x and $V := \tilde{X} - \uparrow E$ is an open neighborhood of α such that $\downarrow V \cap U = \emptyset$. If $x \in B$, then the symmetry of pospace implies that there are a finite subset E of X , an open neighborhood $U := \tilde{X} - \downarrow E$ of α and an open neighborhood $V := \tilde{X} - \uparrow E$ of x such that $\downarrow V \cap U = \emptyset$. Otherwise $x \parallel \alpha$. Since L is a maximal chain, either $\uparrow x \not\supseteq L \setminus \downarrow S$ or $\downarrow x \not\supseteq L \cap \downarrow S$. First, consider the case $\uparrow x \supseteq L \setminus \downarrow S$. So $\downarrow x \not\supseteq L \cap \downarrow S$. Since $x \parallel \alpha$ and $\downarrow x \not\supseteq L \cap \downarrow S$, there is an element $\beta < \alpha$ such that $\beta \parallel x$. Let E be a finite subset of X such that $E \sqcup \{x, \beta\}$ is a maximal chain. Since $\uparrow x \supseteq L \setminus \downarrow S$, we have $U := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x)$ is an open neighborhood of α and $V := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow(E \sqcup \{\beta\}) \cup \downarrow(E \sqcup \{\beta\})) \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ is an open neighborhood of x . Since $E \sqcup \{x, \beta\}$ is a maximal antichain, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\uparrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ and $\downarrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$. Since $U \cap (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x) = \emptyset$, we obtain $\uparrow V \cap U = \emptyset$ and $\downarrow V \cap U = \emptyset$. Second, consider the case the case $\uparrow x \not\supseteq L \setminus \downarrow S$. By symmetry, we may assume that $\downarrow x \not\supseteq L \cap \downarrow S$. Since $x \parallel \alpha$ and $\downarrow x \not\supseteq L \setminus \downarrow S$, there is an element $\beta > \alpha$ such that $\beta \parallel x$. Since $x \parallel \alpha$ and $\downarrow x \not\supseteq L \cap \downarrow S$, there is an element $\gamma < \alpha$ such that $\gamma \parallel x$. Since $x \parallel \alpha$, there is a finite subset E of X such that $E \sqcup \{x, \alpha\}$ is a maximal chain. Then $U := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x)$ is an open neighborhood of α and $V := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow(E \sqcup \{\gamma\}) \cup \downarrow(E \sqcup \{\beta\})) \subseteq (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x) \setminus (\downarrow \beta \cup \uparrow \gamma)$ is an open neighborhood of x . Since $E \sqcup \{x, \alpha\}$ is a maximal antichain and $V \subseteq \tilde{X} - (\uparrow(E \sqcup \{\alpha\}) \cup \downarrow(E \sqcup \{\alpha\}))$, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\uparrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ and $\downarrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$. Since $U \cap (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x) = \emptyset$, we obtain $\uparrow V \cap U = \emptyset$ and $\downarrow V \cap U = \emptyset$. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. Let X be an H-closed pospace without an infinite antichain. Then X is directed complete and down-complete.

Proof. Let L be any infinite chain of X . Then $\min(L^\uparrow) = \min((\downarrow L)^\uparrow)$. By Lemma 2.2, we obtain $\min(L^\uparrow) \neq \emptyset$. Since X has no infinite antichain, we have $\min(L^\uparrow)$ is a nonempty finite subset. Put $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \min(L^\uparrow)$. Since X has no infinite antichain, there is a finite subset K such that $K \supseteq \min(L^\uparrow)$ is a maximal antichain. If $\min(L^\uparrow)$ is not a single set (i.e. $n > 1$), then $x_i \parallel x_j$ for any distinct pair $i \neq j$. For any $i \neq j$, since X is a pospace and $x_i \parallel x_j$, there are open neighborhoods of x_i, x_j respectively such that $\downarrow U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset = U_i \cap \downarrow U_j$. For any $i = 1, \dots, n$, there is an open neighborhood $U_i \subseteq (\uparrow x_i \cup \downarrow x_i) \setminus (\uparrow(K - \{x_i\}) \cup \downarrow(K - \{x_i\}))$ of x_i such that $U_i \cap \downarrow L = \emptyset$. Extend X to $\tilde{X} := X \sqcup \{\alpha\}$ by $\uparrow\alpha := \uparrow_X \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \sqcup \{\alpha\}$ and $\downarrow\alpha := \downarrow_X L \sqcup \{\alpha\}$. Define a topology τ on $\tilde{X}$ by an open subbase $\tau_X \cup \{\tilde{X} - (\uparrow F \cup \downarrow E) \mid F, E \in X^{<\omega}\}$, where τ_X is the topology of X .

Claim 2. $\tilde{X}$ is a pospace.

Indeed, let x be any element of X . Let G be a finite subset of I_α such that $G \sqcup \{\alpha\}$ is a maximal antichain in $\tilde{X}$. If $x = x_i$ for some i , then let $U := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow K \cup \uparrow G \cup \downarrow G) \subseteq$

$\downarrow\alpha$ be an open neighborhood of α . Since $\downarrow\alpha = \downarrow_X L \sqcup \{\alpha\}$, we have $\downarrow U \cap U_i = \emptyset$. If $x \in \uparrow\alpha - \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, then let $U := \tilde{X} - \uparrow K$ be an open neighborhood of α and $V := \tilde{X} - \downarrow K \subseteq \uparrow K$ an open neighborhood of α . Now $\downarrow U \cap V = \emptyset$. If $x \in \downarrow\alpha$, then there is an element $y \in L$ such that $x < y < \alpha$. Now there is a finite subset F of X such that $y \in F$ and $F \sqcup \{y\}$ is a maximal antichain. Then $F \subseteq I_y - \uparrow y$. Hence $U := \tilde{X} - \downarrow(\{y\} \sqcup F)$ is an open neighborhood of x and $V := \tilde{X} - \uparrow(\{y\} \sqcup F)$ is an open neighborhood of x such that $U \cap \downarrow V = \emptyset$. Otherwise $x \parallel \alpha$. Since $x \notin \downarrow L$, there is an element $\beta < \alpha \in L$ such that $\beta \parallel x$. Then there is a finite set F of X such that $F \sqcup \{x, \beta\}$ is a maximal antichain. Thus $U := \tilde{X} - (\downarrow x \cup \uparrow x)$ is an open neighborhood of α and $V := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow(F \sqcup \{\beta\}) \cup \downarrow(F \sqcup \{\beta\})) \subseteq (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x)$ is an open neighborhood of x . Since $F \sqcup \{x, \beta\}$ is a maximal antichain, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\uparrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ and $\downarrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$. Since $U \cup (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x) = \emptyset$, we obtain $\uparrow V \cap U = \emptyset$ and $\downarrow V \cap U = \emptyset$.

Therefore X is not H-closed, which contradicts. Thus $\min(L^\uparrow)$ is a single set and so $\bigvee L$ exists. $\square$

Notice that the symmetry of pospace implies that the dual statement of Lemma 2.3 holds (i.e. An H-closed pospace without an infinite antichain is down complete).

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be an H-closed pospace without an infinite antichain. For any nonempty chain $L \subseteq X$, we have $\bigvee L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a chain $L \subseteq X$ such that $\bigvee L \notin \text{cl}\downarrow L$. Put $a := \bigvee L$. Let $\tilde{X} := X \sqcup \{\alpha\}$ be an extension of X by $\uparrow\alpha = \{\alpha\} \sqcup \uparrow a$ and $\downarrow\alpha = \{\alpha\} \sqcup \downarrow L$. Define a topology τ on $\tilde{X}$ by an open subbase $\tau_X \cup \{\tilde{X} - (\uparrow F \cup \downarrow E) \mid F, E \in X^{<\omega}\}$, where τ_X is the topology of X .

Claim 3. $\tilde{X}$ is a pospace.

Indeed, let x be an element of X . first we consider the case $x \in \uparrow\alpha$. Since X has no infinite antichain, there is a finite subset F of X such that $F \sqcup \{a\}$ is a maximal antichain. Since $\uparrow\alpha \cup \downarrow\alpha \subseteq \uparrow a \cup \downarrow a$, we obtain $F \sqcup \{\alpha\}$ is an antichain. If $x = a$, then $U = \tilde{X} - (\uparrow a \cup \uparrow F \cup \downarrow F) \subseteq \downarrow a$ is an open neighborhood of α . Since $a \notin \text{cl}\downarrow L$, there is an open neighborhood W of a such that $W \cap \downarrow L = \emptyset$. Then $V := W \setminus (\uparrow F \cup \downarrow F) \subseteq \downarrow a \cup \uparrow a$ is an open neighborhood of a . Then $\downarrow U \cap V \subseteq \downarrow a - \downarrow\alpha$. We may assume that $\downarrow U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Then $\downarrow a - \downarrow\alpha \neq \emptyset$. Let E be a maximal antichain of $\downarrow a - (\downarrow\alpha \sqcup \{a\})$. Note that $E \cap (\uparrow\alpha \cup \downarrow\alpha) = \emptyset$. Since X has no infinite antichain, we obtain E is finite. Since $\alpha \notin \uparrow E \cup \downarrow E$, $U' := U \setminus (\uparrow E \cup \downarrow E)$ is an open neighborhood of α . Since $\downarrow a - \downarrow\alpha \subseteq \downarrow E \cup \uparrow E$, we have $U' \subseteq \downarrow\alpha$ and so $\downarrow U' \cap V = \emptyset$. Otherwise $x > a$. $U = \tilde{X} - \uparrow(F \cup \{a\})$ is an open neighborhood of α and $V := \tilde{X} - \downarrow(F \cup \{a\})$ is an open neighborhood of x with $\downarrow U \cap V = \emptyset$.

Second, we consider the case $x < \alpha$. Since $a = \bigvee L \notin L$, there are an element $y \in X$ and $F \in X^{<\omega}$ such that $x < y < \alpha$ and $F \sqcup \{y\}$ is a maximal chain. Then $U := \tilde{X} - \uparrow(F \sqcup \{y\})$ is an open neighborhood of x and $V := \tilde{X} - \downarrow(F \sqcup \{y\})$ is an open neighborhood of α . Then $U \cap \downarrow V = \emptyset$.

Finally, we consider the case $x \parallel \alpha$. If $x \parallel a$, then there is a finite subset E of X such that $E \sqcup \{x, a\}$ is a maximal chain. Thus $U := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x)$ is an open neighborhood of α and $V := \tilde{X} - (\uparrow(E \sqcup \{a\}) \cup \downarrow(E \sqcup \{a\}))$ is an open neighborhood of x . Since $E \sqcup \{x, a\}$ is a maximal antichain, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\uparrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ and $\downarrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$. Since $U \subseteq \downarrow\alpha \setminus (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x)$, we obtain $\uparrow V \cap U = \emptyset$

and $\downarrow V \cap U = \emptyset$. Otherwise $x < a$. Since $x \parallel \alpha$ and $a = \bigvee L \notin L$, there is an element $\beta \in L$ such that $\beta \parallel x$. Let E be a finite subset of X such that $E \sqcup \{x, \beta\}$ is a maximal antichain. Then $U := \tilde{X} - (\downarrow x \cup \uparrow x)$ is an open neighborhood of α and $V := \tilde{X} - (\downarrow(E \sqcup \{\beta\}) \cup \uparrow(E \sqcup \{\beta\}))$ is an open neighborhood of x . Since $E \sqcup \{x, \beta\}$ is a maximal antichain, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\uparrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$ and $\downarrow V \subseteq \uparrow x \cup \downarrow x$. Since $U \subseteq \downarrow \alpha \setminus (\uparrow x \cup \downarrow x)$, we obtain $\uparrow V \cap U = \emptyset$ and $\downarrow V \cap U = \emptyset$. This completes the proof of this claim.

By the definition of τ , X is a proper subspace and $\text{cl}X = \tilde{X}$. Therefore X is not an H-closed pospace. $\square$

Notice that the symmetry of pospace implies that the dual statement of Lemma 2.3 holds (i.e. An H-closed pospace without an infinite antichain is down complete).

Now we show the another direction.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a pospace without an infinite antichain. Suppose that X is directed complete and down-complete such that $\bigvee L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ and $\bigwedge L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ for any nonempty chain $L \subseteq X$. Then X is an H-closed pospace.*

Proof. Suppose there is a non-H-closed pospace X without an infinite antichain such that X is directed complete and down-complete, and $\bigvee L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ and $\bigwedge L \in \text{cl}\downarrow L$ for any chain $L \subseteq X$. Then there is an embedding from X to a pospace $\tilde{X}$ such that X is a dense proper subspace. Fix any element $x \in \tilde{X} - X$. Since X is directed complete and down-complete, $X = \downarrow_X \max_X X = \uparrow_X \min_X X$. Since X has no infinite antichain, we have that $\max_X X$ and $\min_X X$ are finite subsets. If all elements of X are incomparable to x , then $x \notin \downarrow \max_X X$. Since $\downarrow \max_X X$ is $\tilde{X}$ -closed, we have that $x \notin \text{cl}X$, which contradicts to the density of X . Thus there is a comparable element α of X to x . By the symmetry of pospace, we may assume that $\alpha < x$. If $x \notin \downarrow \max_X X$, then the fact $\downarrow \max_X X \supseteq X$ implies that $x \notin \text{cl}X$, which is impossible. Thus there is an element $\omega \in X$ such that $x < \omega$. Since X is embedded into $\tilde{X}$, we have that $A' := \downarrow x \cap X$ and $B' := \uparrow x \cap X$ are X -closed. Let $A := \{\bigvee_X L \mid L \neq \emptyset \subseteq A' \text{ is a chain}\}$ and $B := \{\bigwedge_X L \mid L \neq \emptyset \subseteq B' \text{ is a chain}\}$. Since X is directed complete, we obtain $\max_X A$ and $\min_X B$ are nonempty such that $\downarrow_X \max_X A \supseteq A$ and $\uparrow_X \max_X B \supseteq B$.

Claim 4. $x \notin \downarrow \max_X A$.

Indeed, suppose that there is an element $y \in \max_X A$ such that $x < y$. By the definition of A' , we have $y \notin A'$. Hence there is a chain $L \subseteq A'$ such that $y = \bigvee_X L \notin L$. By the assumption, $y \in \text{cl}_X L \subseteq \text{cl}_X A' = A'$, which is impossible.

By the symmetry of pospace, $x \notin \uparrow \min_X B$. Let $I := \{y \in X \mid x \parallel y\}$. Since X has no infinite antichain, there is a finite subset F of I such that $I \subseteq \uparrow F \cup \downarrow F$. Since $X = A' \sqcup B' \sqcup I$, $\downarrow_X \max_X A \supseteq A'$ and $\uparrow_X \max_X B \supseteq B'$, we have $X \subseteq \downarrow \max_X A \cup \uparrow \min_X B \cup \uparrow F \cup \downarrow F$. Since $x \notin \downarrow \max_X A \cup \uparrow \min_X B \cup \uparrow F \cup \downarrow F$, we obtain that $x \notin \text{cl}X$, which is impossible. $\square$

Theorem 1.1 is induced by Lemma 2.3, 2.4, their dual statements, and Lemma 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [GPR] O. Gutik-D. Pagon-D. Repovš *On Chains in H-Closed Topological Pospaces* Order (2010) 27: 69-81

- [GR] O. Gutik-D. Repovš *On linearly ordered H-closed topological semilattices* Semigroup Forum (2008) 77: 474-481
- [W] L. E. Ward, Jr. *Partially ordered topological spaces* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1954) 5:1, 144-161.

TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF MARINE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, FACULTY OF MARINE TECHNOLOGY, 1-2-6 ETCHUJIMA, KOTO-KU, TOKYO, 108-8477 (JAPAN)